



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,589	03/23/2001	Gary L. Gastineau	00322.0007.CNUS01	7446
22930 7590 08/18/2010				
HOWREY LLP - East				
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT				
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DR, SUITE 200				
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924				
EXAMINER				
SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3691				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 09/815,589	Applicant(s) GASTINEAU ET AL.
		Examiner BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA	Art Unit 3691

All Participants:

(1) BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA.

(2) Michael Stimson, Attorney.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
44 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hani M. Kazimi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed allowability of application considering patented parent applications. Examiner requested applicant to file a supplemental amendment rectifying intended use languages in the claims 44 and 48. Examiner further requested applicant to refile terminal disclaimer (TD) as TD filed on 05/18/2010 has been disapproved by the office .